|   |  | STUDY MODULE D  | ESCRIPTION FORM   |   |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| Name o<br>Qua   | f the module/subject<br>ity Management                                   | and Experimental Softwa   | are Eng.  | Code<br>1010512321010517900   |  |  |
| Field of study  |  |   | Profile of study<br>(general academic, practical)   | Year /Semester  |  |  |
|   |  |   | Subject offered in:   | Course (compulsory, elective)                                       |  |  |
|   | Softw  | ware Engineering  | English   | obligatory  |  |  |
| Cycle of study:   |  |   | Form of study (full-time,part-time)   |   |  |  |
|   | Second-c   | ycle studies  | full-time   |   |  |  |
| No. of hours  |  |   |   | No. of credits  |  |  |
| Lecture: - Classes: 30 Laboratory: -  |  |   | Project/seminars:   | 30 4  |  |  |
| Status of the course in the study program (Basic, major, other)   |  |   | (university-wide, from another field)   |   |  |  |
| Educatio  | on areas and fields of sci   | ence and art  | ECTS distribution (number   |   |  |  |
| Laadaa  |  |   |   | and %)  |  |  |
| techr   | ical sciences  |   |   | 4 100%  |  |  |
| Technical sciences  |  |   |   | 4 100%  |  |  |
| Resp  | onsible for subje  | ect / lecturer:   | Responsible for subject   | ct / lecturer:  |  |  |
| dr ir   | iż. Miroslaw Ochodek   |   | ngr inż. Sylwia Kopczyńska  |   |  |  |
| ema   | il: Miroslaw.Ochodek   | @cs.put.poznan.pl   | email: Sylwia.Kopczynska@cs.put.poznan.pl   |   |  |  |
| tei. 0<br>Wyd   | lział Informatyki  |   | tel. 61 665 2944<br>Wydział Informatyki   |   |  |  |
| ul. F   | Piotrowo 3, 60-965 Poz   | znań  | ul. Piotrowo 3, 60-965 Poznań   |   |  |  |
| Prere   | quisites in term   | s of knowledge, skills an   | d social competencies:  |   |  |  |
| 1   | Knowledge  | Student shall have a general kn   | owledge regarding software engineering and math.  |   |  |  |
| 2   | Skills   | Student shall have necessary s<br>necessary to prepare a short sc<br>given sources of information.  | kills to formulate and test simpl<br>ientific report, and skills necess   | e statistical hypotheses, skills<br>ary to acquire information from |  |  |
| 3   | Social<br>competencies   | Student shall understand neces<br>honesty, responsibility, persever<br>people.  | sity of continuous development of skills and show attitudes as rance, curiosity, creativity, manners, and respect for other |   |  |  |
| Assu  | mptions and obj  | ectives of the course:  |   |   |  |  |
| - Provie theoret  | de knowledge regardir<br>ical foundations,                               | ng experimental software enginee  | ring, especially related to empire  | rical research methods and their                                    |  |  |
| - Provie proces   | de knowledge regardir<br>ses maturity and their                          | ng quality management, especiall<br>continuous improvement,   | y related to quality managemen  | t systems, assessment of  |  |  |
| - Devel<br>using e<br>- Deve  | op students? skills in<br>empirical methods,<br>elop students? skills in | solving problems related to evaluate solving problems related to evaluate to evaluate solving problems related to evaluate to | ation of methods, tools, and pho<br>ation and improvement of softv  | enomena in software engineering<br>vare development processes       |  |  |
| within a  | an organization.   | man and reference to the  | advactional results for   | a field of study  |  |  |
| Know  |  | mes and reference to the  | educational results for   | a field of study  |  |  |
| 1 has   | advanced and deep k  | nowledge concerning applying ex   | nerimental methods in software  | engineering - [K2st W1]   |  |  |
| 2. has  | advanced and deep k  | nowledge concerning software me   | easurement (GQM+Strategies,   | measurement scales, types of  |  |  |
| measu<br>3. has   | res) - [K2st_W1]<br>organized and well-for                               | rmed theoretical general knowled  | ge regarding lean management  | and its application to software                                     |  |  |
| develo<br>4. has  | oment - [K2st_W2]<br>organized and well-for                              | rmed theoretical, general knowled   | lge regarding maturity of softwa  | re development processes  |  |  |
| (CMMI) - [K2st_W2]  |  |   |   |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>b. nas advanced and detailed knowledge regarding reviews and inspections - [K2st_W3]</li> <li>b. has depend knowledge regarding quality assurance at the organization level (o.g. ISO 0001, TOM, ITIL). [K2st_W2]</li> </ul> |  |   |   |   |  |  |
| Skills  |  | yarung quality assurance at the C   | nganization level (e.g. 150 900   | , i αινι, i i i∟ <i>) =</i> [r∠δι_۷۷۵]                              |  |  |
| enne  | -  |   |   |   |  |  |

1. is able to acquire knowledge from the literature, also by performing a systematic literature review - [K2st\_U1]

2. is able to plan and conduct experiments and interpret and discuss their results - [K2st\_U3]

3. is able to select an appropriate empirical research method to formulate research problem / research question (e.g., experiment, case study, survey, meta-analysis) - [K2st\_U4]

4. is able to integrate knowledge from computer science and statistics to analyze data from a software development project [K2st\_U5]

5. is able to critically evaluate the results of empirical studies in the area of software engineering (e.g., evaluate threats to validity) - [K2st\_U6]

6. is able to experimentally evaluate the usefulness of recent tools and methods, an software products - [K2st\_U9]

7. is able to use programming language (e.g, R) and software libraries dedicated to data analysis - [K2st\_U10]

8. is able to apply systematic literature review to find related works for further exploration - [K2st\_U16]

#### Social competencies:

1. understands the importance and need of conducting empirical research to develop and evaluate methods and tools supporting software development - [K2st\_K2]

2. understands the necessity of being honest while describing the results of scientific research - [K2st\_K3]

3. is aware of the importance of ethical fairness while conducting empirical research (e.g., how to handle sensitive data). - [K2st\_K4]

#### Assessment methods of study outcomes

Formative assessment:

- based on the answers provided by students during the seminars,

- based on the regular assessment of the current status of research projects.

Summative assessment:

Performed based on two criteria (the weighted average percentage points):

- conducting a research project and presentation of its results during the seminar (0-100%, weight 0.5)

- knowledge test covering experimental software engineering and quality management (0-100%, weight 0.5)

The final grade is determined using the following scale:

- (90%, 100%] ? 5.0

- (80%, 90%] ? 4.5

- (70%, 80%] ? 4.0
- (60%, 70%] ? 3.5
- (50%, 60%] ? 3.0
- (0%, 50%] ? 2.0

#### **Course description**

The course includes seminars and project classes.

During the seminars students learn and discuss about quality management and experimental engineering. A part of the seminar classes has a form of showcase or individual tasks that are performed by students. The following topics are covered in details:

- ? Quality Management
- o quality management (definition of quality, importance of quality, cost of quality),
- o continuous improvement paradigm (Plan-Do-Check-Act, TQM),

o quality management systems and ISO 9000 (definition of quality management system, the structure of ISO 9001, the quality management principles in ISO 9001),

- o assessment of processes maturity based on CMMI and SCAMPI,
- o good practices of service management with ITIL,

o Reviews and inspections (definition of review and inspection, inspection and review processes and their results).

? Experimental software engineering

o empirical research in software engineering (the role of empirical research in evaluation of tools and methods used in software engineering; relationships between observations, laws, and theories; formulating hypotheses and research questions; quantitative and qualitative methods),

o measurement scales (definition and properties of nominal, ordinal, interval, and ratio scales; types of measurement errors),

o controlled experiments (the goals of controlled experiments; experiment definition; context selection; formulating experiment hypotheses; variables selection: dependent and independent variables; sampling; experiment assumptions; data collection; data validation with the use of statistical methods; data visualization and analysis of probability distributions; testing hypotheses with statistical tests; power analysis; software tools supporting the analysis of the experiment data; interpretation and analysis of the results; classification of threats to validity),

o case studies (goals of cases studies; planning a case study; data and evidence collection; analysis of the data collecting within case study; reporting the results of case study),

o meta-analysis (the goals of meta-analysis; systematic literature reviews; planning and preparation of the review protocol; performing a review; documenting results of review),

o surveys (goals of surveys; types of surveys; preparing surveys; evaluating a survey instrument; the analysis of survey results),

During the project classes? student is running a research project that has to employ at least one of the following empirical methods: controlled experiment, case study, survey, or meta-analysis. The goal of each project is to find an answer to a given research question. The results of the project are described in a report and they presented during the seminars.

# Basic bibliography:

1. C. Wohlin, P. Runeson, M. Host, M. Ohlsson, B. Regnell, and A. Wesslen: Experimentation in Software Engineering: An Introduction, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.

2. Gordon G. Schulmeyer: Handbook of Software Quality Assurance, ISBN-13: 978-1596931862, Artech House Publishers, 2007.

## Additional bibliography:

1. Ochodek, Miroslaw, et al. Improving the reliability of transaction identification in use cases. Information and Software Technology 53.8 (2011): 885-897.

2. Ochodek, Mirosław, and Sylwia Kopczyńska. Perceived importance of agile requirements engineering practices? A survey. Journal of Systems and Software 143 (2018): 29-43.

| Activity   | Time (working<br>hours) |      |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| 1. participating in project classes and seminars                                     | 60                      |      |  |  |  |
| 2. preparing assumptions of research project, its execution, preparation of the repo | 15                      |      |  |  |  |
| of the results   | 10                      |      |  |  |  |
| 3. preparing to the knowledge test   | 15                      |      |  |  |  |
| 4. studying literature / learning aids   |                         |      |  |  |  |
| Student's workload   |                         |      |  |  |  |
| Source of workload   | hours                   | ECTS |  |  |  |
| Total workload   | 100                     | 4    |  |  |  |
| Contact hours 6  | 60                      | 2    |  |  |  |
| Practical activities   | 75                      | 3    |  |  |  |

## Result of average student's workload